UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,228	05/30/2006	Masanori Naritomi	052528	3365
	10/534,228 05/30/2006 Masanori Naritomi	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			KRUER, KEVIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,228	NARITOMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KEVIN R. KRUER	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/5/	2009					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	pante Quayre, 1000 c.a. 1., 10	0 0.0, 2.0.				
· <u> </u>	P e					
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> , <u>8</u> , <u>9</u> , <u>and 13-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/2005</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ателт Аррисаноп				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 6, 8, 9, and 13-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/05/2009.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 5/6/2005 has been fully considered. An initialed copy of said IDS is attached hereto.

### **Drawings**

The drawings filed 5/6/2005 are accepted.

#### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not on a separate sheet. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are directed to a film with a surface roughness of "5um to 50um." However, applicant fails to describe how said surface roughness is

Application/Control Number: 10/534,228

Art Unit: 1794

measured/determined. As is known in the art, the method of measuring surface roughness can vary and will significantly affect the reported surface roughness value (see US 20090263737 (0040) and US 20090229856 (0025)). Thus, the failure to disclose the method by which surface roughness was determined renders the claim indefinite because the skilled artisan would not know how to make the claimed invention or how to determine if a film fell within the scope of the pending claims.

Page 3

Similarly, applicant claims the surface should have "fine recesses or projections of not larger than 1um" (claim 1) or "of "0.01um to 0.1um in diameter" on the surface. It is not clear how the skilled artisan would go about determining the size of recesses or projections. To determine the height, one must establish a reference point by which said height/recesses will be determined. It is not clear what said reference point is in the present application. It could possibly be the highest peak on the surface, the lowest recess, or an "average" thickness calculated by some undetermined process.

Furthermore, to determine the size of projections and recesses which are irregular in shape, it must be clear at what point in the recess/projection the size is being determined: the middle, the highest possible point or lowest possible point, etc. With regards to the recorded diameter, it is not clear how applicant is determining the diameter of the irregular surface features (see Figures).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,228 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 1-3, 5, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the claimed surface roughness and "fine recesses or projections" are to be measured. The method of measurement will greatly affect the claimed range and the assessment of what prior art reads on the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN R. KRUER whose telephone number is (571)272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571-272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,228 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794